



Resonance Production in Two-Photon Collisions at LEP with the L3 detector.

> M.N. Kienzle-Focacci Geneva University

$$e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^-\pi^+\pi^-\pi^+\pi^-$$

- Present status
- ➡ L3 data
- \Rightarrow Results for $W_{\gamma\gamma} > 3$ GeV
- ⇒ Spin-Parity-Helicity for $W_{\gamma\gamma} < 3 \text{ GeV}$

$$e^+e^-
ightarrow e^+e^- {
m K}^0_{
m S}\, {
m K}^\pm \pi^\mp$$
 and $\eta\,\pi^+\pi^-$

- \Rightarrow Present status of η and f_1 states
- L3 data
- \blacktriangleright Evidence for $\eta~(1440),~f_1(1285)$ and $f_1(1420)$ in $K^0_S\,K^\pm\pi^\mp$
- ⇒ Evidence for $f_1(1285)$ in $\eta \pi^+ \pi^-$
- ⇒ Two-photon width of η (1440) and tests of gluonium.

Conclusions



Resonance Formation in Two Photon Collisions at LEP with the L3 detector (page 2)



Selection of $\gamma\gamma \to \pi^+\pi^-\pi^+\pi^-$ Events in L3



Resonance Formation in Two Photon Collisions at LEP with the L3 detector (page 3)



Resonance Formation in Two Photon Collisions at LEP with the L3 detector (page 4)



Resonance Formation in Two Photon Collisions at LEP with the L3 detector (page 5)



Resonance Formation in Two Photon Collisions at LEP with the L3 detector (page 6)



High mass data $W_{\gamma\gamma} \geq 3$ GeV



Neither PYTHIA nor PHOJET reproduce well the data

July 2000 ICHEP, Osaka

Resonance Formation in Two Photon Collisions at LEP with the L3 detector (page 7)



$W_{\gamma\gamma} < 3 \text{ GeV Spin-Parity-Helicity Analysis}$

The possible final states in no-tag $\gamma\gamma$ reaction are :

even spins $J^P = 0^{\pm}, 2^{\pm}, 4^{\pm} \dots$ with helicity $J_z = 0$ $J^P = 2^+, 3^+, 4^+ \dots$ with helicity $J_z = \pm 2$ Formalism first used by TASSO : $\Rightarrow 2\pi^+ 2\pi^-$ non-resonant (PS) • $\rho^0 \pi^+ \pi^-$ isotropic. • $\rho^0 \rho^0$ final states restricted to L = 0 and L = 1 : $(J^p, J_z) = 0^+, 0^-, (2^+, \pm 2), (2^+, 0), (2^-, 0)$ $(2^-, 0)$ can have a total spin S=1 or S=2. All final states are produced incoherently • Fit for each mass bin, with N events, with parameters λ_i $\log \Lambda = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left| \log \left(\sum_{j} \lambda_j \frac{|g_j(\xi_i)|^2}{|g_j|^2} \right) - \sum_{j} \lambda_j \right|$ $g_{\pi^+\pi^-\pi^+\pi^-} = 1$ $g_{\rho^0\pi^+\pi^-} = \frac{1}{2} \Big\{ BW(m_{12}) + BW(m_{34}) + BW(m_{14}) + BW(m_{32}) \Big\}$ $g_{\rho_0\rho_0}^{J^p J_z}(\xi) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \Big\{ BW(m_{12}) BW(m_{34}) \Psi^{J^p J_z LS}(\xi) \Big\}$ $+BW(m_{14})BW(m_{32})\Psi^{J^pJ_zLS}(\xi)$ variables to describe the four particles final state



Resonance Formation in Two Photon Collisions at LEP with the L3 detector (page 9)



Spin-Parity-Helicity Analysis



The cross section for the formation of a partial wave j is:

$$\sigma_{\gamma\gamma\to j} = \frac{N\lambda_j}{\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{e^+e^-}}\varepsilon(W_{\gamma\gamma})\frac{\Delta\mathcal{L}_{\gamma\gamma}}{\Delta W_{\gamma\gamma}}\Delta W_{\gamma\gamma}}$$

The efficiency ε includes detector acceptance, trigger efficiency and selection requirements.



Resonance Formation in Two Photon Collisions at LEP with the L3 detector (page 10)



Result of 8-parameter fit 60 60 $\sigma(\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \pi^{+}\pi^{-}\pi^{-}\pi^{-})$ [nb] L3 $α(\gamma\gamma \to ρ^0 π^+ π^-) [nb]$ 40 40 20 20 0 0 5 2 2.5 W_{γγ} [GeV] 5 2 2.5 W_{γγ} [GeV] 3 1.5 3 1.5 60 60 J^p=0⁺ J^p=0 $\sigma(\gamma\gamma\to\rho^0\rho^0)\;[nb]$ $\sigma(\gamma\gamma \to \rho^0 \rho^0) \text{ [nb]}$ 40 40 20 20 0 0 1.5 2 2.5 W_{γγ} [GeV] 1.5 2 2.5 W_{γγ} [GeV] 3 3 60 60 (J^p,J_z)=(2⁺,2) (J^p,J_z)=(2⁺,0) $\sigma(\gamma\gamma \to \rho^0 \rho^0) \; [nb]$ $\sigma(\gamma\gamma\to\rho^0\rho^0)\;[nb]$ 40 40 20 20 0 0 1.5 2 2.5 W_{γγ} [GeV] 1.5 2 2.5 W_{γγ} [GeV] 3 3 1 60 60 (J^p,J_z,S)=(2⁻,0,1) (J^p,J_z,S)=(2⁻,0,2) $\sigma(\gamma\gamma \to \rho^0 \rho^0) \text{ [nb]}$ 40 40 20 20 0 0 5 2 2.5 W_{γγ} [GeV] 5 2 2.5 W_{γγ} [GeV] 1.5 3 3 1.5 1 **Dominance** of the partial wave $(J^p, J_z) = (2^+, 2)$ Contribution of $J^p = 0^+$ Negative parity and $(2^+, 0)$ states consistent with zero

Resonance Formation in Two Photon Collisions at LEP with the L3 detector (page 11)



Result of 4-parameter fit





Resonance Formation in Two Photon Collisions at LEP with the L3 detector (page 12)





Resonance Formation in Two Photon Collisions at LEP with the L3 detector (page 13)



2. PDG: $K_S^0 K^{\pm} \pi^{\mp}$ and $\eta \pi^+ \pi^-$ states

Axial-Vectors: $I^{G}(J^{PC}) = 0^{+}(1^{++})$

 $f_1(1285)$

 $\mathsf{M} = 1281.9 \pm 0.6 \; \mathsf{MeV} \qquad \Gamma = \; 24.0 \pm 1.2 \; \mathsf{MeV}$

Seen in

 $\gamma\gamma^*, \ \pi p, \ pp, \ p\overline{p}, \ Kp, \ J/\psi \ radiative \ decay$

 $1.K_{\rm S}^{0} \, {\rm K}^{\pm} \pi^{\mp}$ decay: 9.6%

2. $\eta \pi^+ \pi^-$ decay: 50% ; $a_0(980)\pi$ 34%

 $f_1(1420)$

 $\mathsf{M} = \mathsf{1426.2} \pm \mathsf{1.2} \; \mathsf{MeV} \qquad \Gamma = \; \mathsf{55.0} \pm \mathsf{3.0} \; \mathsf{MeV}$

Seen in $\gamma\gamma^*, \gamma^*\gamma^*, \pi p, p\bar{p}, Kp, J/\psi \ radiative \ decay$ 1. $K_S^0 K^{\pm} \pi^{\mp} \ decay$: $K^*K \ dominant$ 2. $\eta \pi^+\pi^- \ decay$: possibly seen



Resonance Formation in Two Photon Collisions at LEP with the L3 detector (page 15)



L3 data : $K_S^0 K^{\pm} \pi^{\mp}$ selection

1997 - 1999 data at $\sqrt{s} = 183 \div 202 \text{ GeV}$ Total integrated luminosity $\mathcal{L}_{e^+e^-} = 449 \text{ pb}^{-1}$ **D** Events: 4 good tracks ; No photons **D** $K_S^0 \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$ at secondary vertex **D** dE/dx identification of $\pi^\pm K^\mp$ at primary vertex: CL(K π)>1%, CL($\pi\pi$)<10⁻³



Resonance Formation in Two Photon Collisions at LEP with the L3 detector (page 16)



 ${\rm K}^0_{\rm S}\,{\rm K}^\pm\pi^\mp$ mass spectrum





Resonance Formation in Two Photon Collisions at LEP with the L3 detector (page 17)



July 2000 ICHEP, Osaka Resonance Formation in Two Photon Collisions at LEP with the L3 detector (page 18)



Resonance Formation in Two Photon Collisions at LEP with the L3 detector (page 19)



Two-body decay : $K^*(892)K$ and $a_0(980)\pi$

 ${
m P}_t^2 < 0.2~{
m GeV}^2$ and 1370 GeV $< M({
m K}_{
m S}^0\,{
m K}^\pm\pi^\mp) < 1560~{
m GeV}$



Resonance Formation in Two Photon Collisions at LEP with the L3 detector (page 20)



Resonance Formation in Two Photon Collisions at LEP with the L3 detector (page 21)



Resonance Formation in Two Photon Collisions at LEP with the L3 detector (page 22)



Resonance Formation in Two Photon Collisions at LEP with the L3 detector (page 23)







Resonance Formation in Two Photon Collisions at LEP with the L3 detector (page 25)





Conclusions and Outlook

Two-photon interactions, with the high statistics obtained at LEP, can help to clarify the complex region of low mass resonances.

$$e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^-\pi^+\pi^-\pi^+\pi^-$$

- ⇒ 2⁺⁺ and 0⁺⁺ waves in the $\rho^0 \rho^0$ channel. More detailed spin-parity-helicity analysis and the study of the $\rho^+ \rho^-$ channel will identify the f_2 and f_0 resonances
- ⇒ For $W_{\gamma\gamma} > 3$ GeV the production mechanism of the ρ^0 and f_2 must be studied.

 $e^+e^- \to e^+e^- {\rm K}_{\rm S}^0 \, {\rm K}^\pm \pi^\mp$ and $\eta \, \pi^+\pi^-$

- ⇒ $\Gamma_{\gamma\gamma} \cdot BR(\eta (1440) \rightarrow K\bar{K}\pi) =$ 212 ± 50 (stat.) ± 17 (sys.) eV Which is the nature of this state? Gluonium or radial recurrence?
- \Rightarrow Measure the $\Gamma_{\gamma\gamma}$ of $f_1(1285)$ and $f_1(1420)$